

# Management of arrhythmias: A primary care perspective

## P Boon Lim

Consultant Cardiologist and Electrophysiologist Clinical Lead Syncope Diagnostic Unit Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust

> Boon.lim@imperial.nhs.uk Syncope.smh@nhs.net

Too SLOW =

bradycardia



Too FAST = tachycardia

## Too SLOW =

bradyrdia

Being very "fit"

Vagal state –

"nocturnal pauses"



AV NODE

BUNDLE

STEP

T BUNDLE

Too FAST = tachycardia **STRESS** "running from a sabre tooth tiger" "being late for mother inlaw's Xmas dinner"

Anxiety

### Reflex response

### Too SLOW =

bradyrdia

Progressive "fibrosis/scar" in the electrical system in the heart



Too FAST =

tachycardia

Abnormal "circuits" : SVT, VT

Abnormal automaticity: Excessive ectopic beats

Irregularity: AF/VF

So where do ectopic beats / palpitations fit in ?

Too SLOW =

bradyrdia



Too FAST =

tachycardia

## **Normal heart function**

- The natural "pacemaker" is the sinus node.
- The sinus node works relentlessly 24/7
- Approx 100,000 heart beats per day
- Diurnal variation (ie slower at night, faster when needs to be)
- All hearts are created with "backup" pacemaker cells



#### Some examples of ...





#### Are these palpitations?



### **Ectopic beats vs palpitations**

## ECTOPIC BEATS

- Are description of extra beats which may arise from the atria of ventricules
- May or may not be associated with symptoms!

- PALPITATIONS
- Are a patient's description of symptoms
- May or may not be associated with ectopic beats!

## What tools can be used to investigate palpitations?

## • ECG

- 24 Hour Holter monitor
- 7 day event recorder
- Implantable Loop Recorder
- Self monitoring smart devices: Alive Cor.





## Symptom correlation is important! Case 1



24h ECG report:

Sinus Rhythm throughout the recording,

76 VEs seen in isolation.

2 SVEs seen in isolation.

Min HR: 49bpm. Mean HR: 73bpm. Max HR: 120bpm.

The patient reported "palpitations" at 23:10 corresponding to Sinus Rhythm with Ventricular Ectopicss seen in isolation.





Sinus Rhythm throughout the recording.

43 VEs seen in isolation.

1 SVE seen in isolation.

Min HR: 54bpm. Mean HR: 72bpm. Max HR: 119bpm.

The patient reported "palpitations" and "feel heavy" during the monitoring corresponding to Sinus Rhythm.

NHS Trust

Holter	
shows V7	-

Case of 56 yo woman with HTN and obesity only

Do you refer?

			NHS Trust
SUMMARY			Date of report 19/12/2011 15:46
Hook-up date : Duration : Recorder n° : Duration analysed : Number of QRS :	16/12/2011 18:25:00 24:00 VIP10000894 23:39:42 119242	Min Sinus HR (*/) Mean Sinus HR (*/) Max Sinus HR (*/) SDNN PNN50	D): 86 (90/78) ): 129 (129/122) at 12:09:53 : 90 ms
Bradycardias : 0 Pauses : 0 ; RR ma Relative pauses : 0 AF : 0 AF burden : ST 0	x 1.35 seconds (5:58:52)		
VPB : 390 (0%) Couplets : 1 bigeminy : 0	Ventricular events Templates : 1 Triplets : 0 t 44 QRS at 179 min-1 (1:29:5	Cou Tri	Supraventricular events       PB :     19 (0%)       uplets :     1       iplets :     0       PT :     2 ; longest 5 QRS at 134 min-1 (21:21:18)
The values in italics in the tables have been mo CONCLUSION Technical report: 72HR mot	-		
Sinus rhythm with two episover VT run of 13beats @ 135bp	odes of VT runs	00	
VE singles 331 SVE runs x 2 SVE couplets x 2 SVE singles x 6			
Max R-R: 1.35sec at 05:58:	52		
Max HR: 217bpm (VT) @ 1 Max HR: 129bpm at 12:09: Min HR: 62bpm at 04:27:20	53		
No diary retunred			

#### 5:56:46 VT; Number of QRS = 13; Duration = 5.34s; Mean HR = 135 min-1



# Management of non-sustained BCT

- **Refer** if uncertain
- If VT + syncope  $\rightarrow$  will need consideration for ICD
- Fhx sudden death is relevant.
- IHD = high likelihood that this is ischaemic VT (commonest)
- If no IHD, Will need work up for Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy, Brugada, Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, Long QT syndrome, Early repolarisation syndromes
- At tertiary centre : thorough Hx, CMRI, ajmaline and adrenaline challenge, VT stimulation study, Reveal device

			Imperial Colleg	Je Healthcare NHS Trust
	Hook-up date : Duration : Recorder n <sup>o</sup> : Duration analysed : Number of QRS :	03/05/2012 14:41:00 24:00 VIP10000899 23:07:38 113109	Min Sinus HR $(*/D)$ : Mean Sinus HR $(*/D)$ : Max Sinus HR $(*/D)$ : SDNN : PNN50 :	55 (56/55) at 6:49:18 82 (83/80) 127 (127/111) at 8:13:03 98 ms 18.90 %
Case of 30 yo woman with palps,	Bradycardias : 0 Pauses : 0 ; RR max 1.3 Relative pauses : 0 AF : 0 AF burden : ST 0	6 seconds (23:43:31)		
but no syncope. Normal echo	VPB : 12027 (10%) Couplets : 0	ricular events Templates : 1 Triplets : 0 24 seconds (10:49:22) 6, Pause 2.50s, Brady HR 40 min-1, Tachy mually	SVPB : Couplets : Triplets : SVPT : HR 120 min-1, Getting up time 07:00, Bedtime 23:0	Supraventricular events 98 (0%) 2 1 0
Should she be referred?	Sinus Rhythm with frequent VE's VE singles x 12027 Occasional VE trigeminy and big SVE triplets x 1 SVE couplets x 2 SVE singles x 98 Max R-R: 1.36sec at 23:43:31 Max HR: 127bpm at 08:13:03 Min HR: 55bpm at 06:49:18 No diary returned			

# Other clinical cases – the HOLTER report, what does it mean?

- Echo Normal
- VE > 10,000, but no sustained VT

## **Challenging / Unanswered questions**

- what is natural progression of disease?
- What burden of ectopy is considered "high" enough to warrant therapy?
- At what stage do you start therapy?
- Drugs or ablation ?

## 55yo patient with syncope: What is this?



### Patient c/o palpitations, then fainting



## AF with offset pauses



## Definitions

2012 HRS/EHRA/ECAS expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: recommendations for patient selection, procedural techniques, patient management and follow-up, definitions, endpoints, and research trial design

March 2012, Europace, Heart Rhythm, J Interv Cardiac Electrophysiol

Paroxysmal	:	recurrent (>2 episodes) with spontaneous termination <7 days
Persistent	:	AF > 7 days, or needs cardioversion
Longstanding Persistent	:	AF > 1 year
Permanent	:	"State of mind" of physician/patient - acceptance of long term AF
Caveat, Post "early" DCCV	1:	within 48h (Paroxysmal)
	:	> 48 hours (Persistent)



•76 year old lady with HTN, DM, with persistent AF for 5 years and NYHA 2. Echo shows mildly impaired LV and LA size of 4.9cm. What is optimal management?

# **Management questions**

Questions:

- 1. Rate vs Rhythm?
- 2. Anticoagulate or not? = STROKE PREVENTION

## Rate vs rhythm

#### Decision based on

- 1. Symptoms, despite best drug therapy
- 2. Likelihood of achieving sinus rhythm
  - » LA size < 5cm
  - » Duration of AF < 4 years</p>
  - » Younger age
  - » Less atrial fibrosis (i.e. CMR imaging)

#### Options for treatment are

- » Anti arrhythmics: amiodarone, flecainide, propafenone, Sotalol
- » AV nodal blocking: Digoxin, beta blockers, calcium antagonists
- » DCCV even if only to assess symptoms
- » Ablation (earlier and evolving indications)



## Rate control – how to do it ?

Drugs of choice

Beta blocker
Calcium blocker
Both
Digoxin

## Rhythm control – how to do it ?

Drugs of choice Normal heart = flecainide Structural heart disease = amiodarone Heart failure = amiodarone Ischaemic Heart Disease = sotalol/amiodarone

## DCCV

## Reasonable to consider initially But high recurrence rate

# At 1 year, 75% failure without anti arrhythmics 40% failure with best drug (amio)



## **Catheter ablation : rationale**





## Catheter ablation for paroxysmal AF

 Good mechanistic understanding of focal triggers from pulmonary veins

Success rates 70% for 1 procedure Increased to 85% with more than 1 procedure



## Catheter ablation for persistent AF

Mechanistic understanding unclear – multiple sources/drivers



Translates into poorer outcomes

•40% 1<sup>st</sup> time success

 Likely to need more than 1 procedure – final success 50-70% (dependent on many factors)

## **Anti-coagulation**

- Assessment of stroke risk, independent of arrhythmia
- Paroxysmal AF CVA risk = Persistent AF risk
- Use CHADS2VASc score validated.
- Options for treatment
  - 1. Warfarin
  - 2. Newer agents (dabigatran, rivaroxaban ,apixaban)
  - 3. Left atrial appendage closure devices.
  - 4. NOT ASPIRIN (unless unable to tolerate other anticoagulant or other reasons for this)

## CHADS2VASc

CHA2DS2-VASc		CHA2DS2-VASc	Adjusted stroke
Risk	Score	Score	rate (% / year)
		0	0
CHF or LVEF <40%	1	1	1.3
Hypertension	1	2	2.2
Age > 75	2	3	3.2
Diabetes	1	4	4
Stroke / TIA /			
Thromboembolism	2	5	6.7
Vascular Disease	1	6	9.8
Age 65-74	1	7	9.6
Female	1	8	6.7
		9	15.2

CHF = congestive heart failure; TIA - transient ischemic attack; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction.

## **NOACs vs warfarin**

	Dabiga (150mg		Rivaroxaban		Apixaban	
%	W	d	W	r	W	а
CVA/ embolism	1.69	1.11*	2.4	2.1	1.6	1.27*
Bleed	3.36	3.11	3.4	3.6	3.09	2.13
МІ	0.53	0.74*	1.1	0.9	0.61	0.53
Death	4.13	3.64*	2.2	1.9	3.94	3.52*

## Anticoagulation

- Key points
  - 1. Decision to anti-coagulate made on CHADSVASC alone, not on rate/rhythm strategy
  - 2. Anticoagulation : Probably if CHADSVASC = 1, definitely if >1
  - 3. Do not use aspirin.
  - 4. Other options to consider if unable to tolerate anticoagulation (LAA closure)



•76 year old lady with HTN, DM, with persistent AF for 5 years and NYHA 2. Echo shows mildly impaired LV and LA size of 4.9cm. What is optimal management?

•76 year old lady with HTN, DM, with persistent AF for 5 years and NYHA 2. Echo shows mildly impaired LV and LA size of 4.9cm. What is optimal management?

 Rate/Rhythm : unlikely to maintain SR (duration > 5 y, LA size almost 5.0cm, HTN) whatever the strategy. Likely therefore to assign "permanent AF" state. For rate control (including ? AV nodal ablation + pace)

•CVA risk: CHADSVASC = 5 (i.e 6.7% annual CVA risk), therefore for anticoagulation.

•Heart failure management : ACE, Beta blockers, frusemide, etc.



•45 year old man with palpitations weekly, lasting up to 3 hours – unable to work during this time as highly symptomatic. Normal LV and LA 3.6cm. No underlying heart disease. ? Management?

•45 year old man with palpitations weekly, lasting up to 3 hours – unable to work during this time as highly symptomatic. Normal LV and LA 3.6cm. No underlying heart disease. ? Management?

•Rate/Rhythm : likely to maintain SR (PAF, duration unspecified, LA size normal). For rhythm control.

- Drugs vs ablation?
  - » 8 prospective randomised trials now comparing success rates
    - AAD (9-40%) vs Ablation (66-89%)
  - » Improved QOL in ablation arms in all trials

•CVA risk: CHADSVasc2 = 0 (low annual CVA risk), not for anticoagulation



66 yo man with persistent AF for 12 months, LA size 4.6, Normal LV. Hypertensive.
Fatigued but thinks it's "old age" catching up with him. ? Management



- 66 yo man with persistent AF for 12 months, LA size 4.6, Normal LV. Hypertensive. Fatigued but thinks it's "old age" catching up with him. ? Management
  - Rate/Rhythm : ? likely to maintain SR (duration 12 months, LA size 4.6, large but not too large for ablation, ? symptomatic).
    - Start on AAD
    - Consider DCCV with full anticoagulation to assess symptoms
      - » If symptomatic with AF, and failed AAD therapy, can have AF ablation.
  - CVA risk: CHADSVasc = 2 (age + HTN): for Anticoagulation
  - CHADSVasc score evolve with time: Old patients get older! / HTN / DM / HF