

Vertebral Fractures Problems of Elderly Trauma

Mr Kevin Tsang

Consultant Craniofacial and Neurosurgeon Unit Training Lead and SAC QA Lead FIA Trauma Surgeon



Introduction

- Back pain and red flags
- Thoracolumbar Fractures
 - Identification
 - Classification
 - Pathological Fractures
- Conservative Management
 - Bracing
- Cementoplasty vs Internal Fixation





BACK PAIN





- Most common disability in <45yrs

 Accounts for 15% all sick leave from work
- 85% have no specific diagnosis
 Only 1% have normalized asymptoms
 - Only 1% have nerve-root symptoms
 - 24% asymptomatic patients have disc prolapses
- 89-90% improve within 1 month without treatment

Condition	Red Flags			
Cancer	<20yrs or >50yrs			
	Weight loss (unexplained)			
	Previous history of cancer			
	Back pain not relieved by rest			
Cauda equina	Progressive lower limb weakness			
	Urinary retention or overflow incontinence			
	Faecal incontinence			
	Saddle anaesthesia			
Fractures	>70yrs			
	Significant trauma			
	Steroids (prolonged use)			





THORACOLUMBAR FRACTURES

Identification

- 1. Age >70y
- 2. Known case of osteoporosis
- 3. Known case of cancer
- 4. Recent trauma
- 5. Prolonged steroid use
- 6. Repeated presentation







- Referral for specialist advice
 - 1. Spinal surgeon
 - 2. FLS

• Consider treatment for osteoporosis

• Consider further imaging modalities

- CT scan (BASS guidelines)
 - All identified fractures should be further assessed
 - All equivocal X-rays should be re-assessed

- MRI scan
 - If neurological deficits
 - If on-going pain despite good analgesia
 - If concerned about stability
 - To determine the age of the fracture

• Punjabi and White definition

"The ability of the spine, under normal physiological loads, to maintain alignment without injury to the bone, ligamentous and neural structures"

AO Classification







- Compression Fractures
 Due to axial loading
- Fracture of endplates only = stable
- Analgesia + mobilisation
- Follow-up with standing Xrays (6 and 12 weeks)



- MRI is useful if:
- 1. Unable to determine age of fracture clinically
- On-going pain and considering cementoplasty





Cementoplasty







ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A Randomized Trial of Vertebroplasty for Osteoporotic Spinal Fractures

David F. Kallmes, M.D., Bryan A. Comstock, M.S., Patrick J. Heagerty, Ph.D., Judith A. Turner, Ph.D., David J. Wilson, F.R.C.R., Terry H. Diamond, F.R.A.C.P., Richard Edwards, F.R.C.R., Leigh A. Gray, M.S., Lydia Stout, B.S., Sara Owen, M.Sc., William Hollingworth, Ph.D., Basavaraj Ghdoke, M.D., et al.



A Randomized Trial of Vertebroplasty for Painful Osteoporotic Vertebral Fractures

Rachelle Buchbinder, Ph.D., Richard H. Osborne, Ph.D., Peter R. Ebeling, M.D., John D. Wark, Ph.D., Peter Mitchell, M.Med., Chris Wriedt, M.B., B.S., Stephen Graves, D. Phil., Margaret P. Staples, Ph.D., and Bridie Murphy, B.Sc.

Cementoplasty

Randomized controlled trial of percutaneous vertebroplasty versus optimal medical management for the relief of pain and disability in acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures

MAJID REZA FARROKHI, M.D.,^{1,2} EHSANALI ALIBAI, M.D.,^{1,2} AND ZOHRE MAGHAMI²

Outcome Measure	PV Group	OMT Group	Mean Difference, Treatment Effect (95% CI)	p Value
VAS for pain				
baseline	8.4 ± 1.6	7.2 ± 1.7		
1 wk	3.3 ± 1.5	6.4 ± 2.1	-3.1 (-3.72 to -2.28)	<0.001
2 mos	3.2 ± 2.2	6.1 ± 2.1	-2.9 (-4.9 to -0.82)	<0.011
6 mos	2.2 ± 2.1	4.1 ± 1.5	-1.9 (-3.25 to -0.55)	<0.021

Single centre prospective study of the efficacy of percutaneous cement augmentation in the treatment of vertebral compression fractures





Cementoplasty

Vertebroplasty versus conservative treatment in acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (Vertos II): an open-label randomised trial

Caroline A H Klazen, Paul N M Lohle, Jolanda de Vries, Frits H Jansen, Alexander V Tielbeek, Marion C Blonk, Alexander Venmans, Willem Jan J van Rooij, Marinus C Schoemaker, Job R Juttmann, Tjoen H Lo, Harald J J Verhaar, Yolanda van der Graaf, Kaspar J van Everdingen, Alex F Muller, Otto E H Elgersma, Dirk R Halkema, Hendrik Fransen, Xavier Janssens, Erik Buskens, Willem P Th M Mali





Vertebroplasty versus sham procedure for painful acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (VERTOS IV): randomised sham controlled clinical trial

Cristina E Firanescu,¹ Jolanda de Vries,^{1,2} Paul Lodder,² Alexander Venmans,¹ Marinus C Schoemaker,¹ Albert J Smeet,¹ Esther Donga,¹ Job R Juttmann,¹ Caroline A H Klazen³ Otto E H Elgersma,⁴ Frits H Jansen,⁵ Alexander V Tielbeek,⁵ Issam Boukrab,¹ Karen Schonenberg,¹ Willem Jan J van Rooij,¹ Joshua A Hirsch,⁶ Paul N M Lohle¹



• Certainly should not be seen as the cure

 This should be performed within 6 weeks of injury

• MRI (STIR) to confirm on-going inflammation is a must



Compression Fractures
 – Due to axial loading

 Partial or complete burst = likely unstable







TLSO Brace?

- Hypothesis prevents flexion/extension
 - Helps to maintain spinal alignment
 - Provide support for paraspinal muscles
- Reality:
 - No evidence for any of the above (Giele et al systematic review 2009)
 - Long-standing use → wasting of paraspinal muscles









 Hyperflexion or hyperextension injuries

 Unstable until proven otherwise



Imperial College Healthcare





- Translation or rotation injuries
- Completely unstable





